


Thank you for using eradoc, a platform to publish electronic copies of the Rothamsted Documents. Your requested document has been scanned from original documents. If you find this document is not readable, or you suspect there are some problems, please let us know and we will correct that.



ROTHAMSTED
RESEARCH

Yields of the Field Experiments 1994

[Full Table of Content](#)



94/R/RAW/5 Disease Forecasting and Yield Loss - W. Oilseed Rape

Rothamsted Research

Rothamsted Research (1995) *94/R/RAW/5 Disease Forecasting and Yield Loss - W. Oilseed Rape* ; Yields Of The Field Experiments 1994, pp 116 - 118 - DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-49>

94/R/RAW/5

WINTER OILSEED RAPE

DISEASE FORECASTING AND YIELD LOSS

Object: To investigate the relationship between the timing and intensity of various diseases, crop development and yield loss - Summerdells I.

Sponsors: H.A. McCartney, B.D.L. Fitt, M.E. Lacey, G. Murray.

Design: 3 randomised blocks of 25 plots.

Whole plot dimensions: 3.0 x 20.0.

Treatments:

FUNGFREQ Prochloraz, iprodione and thiophanate-methyl applied on the following dates:

TREATMENT NUMBER	23 NOV	17 DEC	19 JAN	17 FEB	30 MAR	19 APR	09 MAY	13 JUN	04 JUL
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-
8	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-
9	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-
10	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-
11	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-
12	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	✓
15	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓
16	-	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
19	-	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
20	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
22	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
23	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓
24	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-
25	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-

NOTE: (1) Due to adverse weather, some planned treatments were omitted or re-scheduled. Treatments 1, 2 and 3 were identical and treatments 12, 21 and 22 also were identical. The means of the three are presented under treatments 1 and 12.

(2) All plots were inoculated on 1 December, 1993 by applying rape straw from the 1993 harvest. Treatment numbers 23 and 25 were inoculated again on 16 March, 1994 and treatment number 24 on 28 June.

94/R/RAW/5

Experimental Diary:

01-Jul-93 : B : Discd, rolled.
13-Aug-93 : B : Sting CT at 2.0 l in 200 l.
19-Aug-93 : B : Ploughed and furrow pressed.
20-Aug-93 : B : Rolled.
25-Aug-93 : B : Discd.
27-Aug-93 : B : Rolled.
31-Aug-93 : B : Rotary harrowed.
01-Sep-93 : B : Rolled.
18-Sep-93 : B : Rotary harrowed, Envol, dressed Lindex-Plus FS, drilled
at 120 seeds per m².
30-Sep-93 : B : Draza at 5.5 kg.
23-Nov-93 : T : FUNGFREQ Treatment numbers as schedule: Sportak 45 at
0.55 l with Compass at 1.5 l in 200 l.
17-Dec-93 : T : FUNGFREQ Treatment numbers as schedule: Sportak 45 at
0.55 l with Compass at 1.5 l in 200 l.
18-Jan-94 : B : Draza at 5.5 kg.
19-Jan-94 : T : FUNGFREQ Treatment numbers as schedule: Sportak 45 at
0.55 l with Compass at 1.5 l in 200 l.
17-Feb-94 : T : FUNGFREQ Treatment numbers as schedule: Sportak 45 at
0.55 l with Compass at 1.5 l in 200 l.
28-Feb-94 : B : Benazalox at 1.0 kg with Carbetamex at 3.0 kg in 200 l.
07-Mar-94 : B : 34.5% N at 162 kg.
30-Mar-94 : T : FUNGFREQ Treatment numbers as schedule: Sportak 45 at
0.55 l with Compass at 1.5 l in 200 l.
11-Apr-94 : B : 34.5% N at 346 kg.
19-Apr-94 : T : FUNGFREQ Treatment numbers as schedule: Sportak 45 at
0.55 l with Compass at 1.5 l in 200 l.
09-May-94 : T : FUNGFREQ Treatment numbers as schedule: Sportak 45 at
0.55 l with Compass at 1.5 l in 200 l.
13-Jun-94 : T : FUNGFREQ Treatment numbers as schedule: Sportak 45 at
0.55 l with Compass at 1.5 l in 200 l.
04-Jul-94 : T : FUNGFREQ Treatment numbers as schedule: Sportak 45 at
0.55 l with Compass at 1.5 l in 200 l.
01-Aug-94 : B : Combine harvested.

Previous crops: Potatoes 1992, set-aside 1993.

- NOTE:** (1) Plants were sampled monthly, prior to spray treatment application, to monitor disease progress. Numbers of air-borne spores were counted and growth stage measurements made throughout the season. Seed and plant dry weights, seed oil analysis and stubble counts were made at harvest.
- (2) The analysis presented assumes a linear trend, to represent the weed population.

94/R/RAW/5

GRAIN (AT 90% DRY MATTER) TONNES/HECTARE

***** Tables of means *****

FUNGFREQ

1	3.09
4	3.03
5	3.45
6	3.72
7	3.73
8	3.58
9	3.56
10	3.47
11	3.73
12	3.44
13	3.05
14	3.06
15	3.24
16	3.32
17	3.34
18	3.52
19	3.59
20	3.54
23	3.61
24	3.11
25	3.46

Mean 3.39

*** Standard errors of differences of means ***

FUNGFREQ

0.220 min.rep
0.179 max-min
0.127 max.rep

FUNGFREQ

max-min 1 or 12 v any of the remainder
max.rep 1 or 12
min.rep Any of the remainder

***** Stratum standard errors and coefficients of variation *****

Stratum	d.f.	s.e.	cv%
BLOCK.WP	52	0.365	10.8
GRAIN MEAN DM%	80.2		
PLOT AREA HARVESTED	0.00460		