

Thank you for using eradoc, a platform to publish electronic copies of the Rothamsted Documents. Your requested document has been scanned from original documents. If you find this document is not readable, or you suspect there are some problems, please let us know and we will correct that.



ROTHAMSTED
RESEARCH

Yields of the Field Experiments 1967

[Full Table of Content](#)



67/R/RP2/1/DD/1 Potatoes - Effect of Gaps

Rothamsted Research

Rothamsted Research (1968) *67/R/RP2/1/DD/1 Potatoes - Effect of Gaps* ; Yields Of The Field Experiments 1967, pp 311 - 312 - DOI: <https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-157>

67/Da/1.1

POTATOES

(RP 2/1)

Effect of gaps - Pastures 1967.

Design: 5 randomised blocks of 2 plots, split into 6.

Area of each sub plot: 0.0071. Area harvested: 0.0033.

Treatments: All combinations of:-

Whole plots: 1. Time of gapping: At emergence on June 12 (E),
at flowering on July 12 (F).

Sub plots: 2. Amount of gapping: Normal plant population (G0),
4 (G4), 8 (G8), 12 (G12), 16 (G16), 24 (G24), per
cent of plants removed.

Basal applications: 10 cwt (13:13:20). Weedkiller: Linuron at 0.75
lb plus paraquat at 0.5 lb ipn in 37 gals. Fungicide: Mancozeb
at 1.2 lb in 30 gals on four occasions.

Cultivations, etc.: Rotary cultivated: Sept 16, 1966. Ploughed:
Nov 23 - Jan 5, 1967. Basal compound fertiliser applied:
Mar 30. Rotary cultivated second time: Apr 19. Potatoes
planted: Apr 20. Weedkiller applied: May 17. Fungicide
applied: June 30, July 21, Aug 3, Aug 24. Sprayed with undiluted
BOV at 15 gals: Sept 7. Lifted: Sept 20. Variety: Majestic.
Previous crops: Spring wheat and barley 1965, fallow 1966.

Standard errors per plot. Total tubers:

Whole plot: 0.413 or 2.0% (4 d.f.)

Sub plot: 1.170 or 5.6% (40 d.f.)

67/Dd/1.2

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

	G0	G4	G8	G12	G16	G24	Mean
TOTAL TUBERS							
	(1) and (2)						(±0.234)
E		21.93	21.59	21.20	21.90	20.28	21.38
F		21.03	20.71	20.44	19.54	18.40	20.02
Mean (±0.370)	22.38	21.48	21.15	20.82	20.72	19.34	20.98*

(1) (±0.512) For use in vertical and diagonal comparisons

(2) (±0.523) For use in horizontal and interaction comparisons

% WARE

E	97.2	97.7	97.4	97.9	98.0	97.6
F	97.2	97.7	97.5	97.7	98.1	97.6
Mean	97.5	97.2	97.7	97.5	97.8	97.6*

* General mean