


Thank you for using eradoc, a platform to publish electronic copies of the Rothamsted Documents. Your requested document has been scanned from original documents. If you find this document is not readable, or you suspect there are some problems, please let us know and we will correct that.



ROTHAMSTED
RESEARCH

Yields of the Field Experiments 1961

[Full Table of Content](#)



61/W/DH/2 Lucerne - Control of Weeds - Simazine and Row Spacing

Rothamsted Research

Rothamsted Research (1962) *61/W/DH/2 Lucerne - Control of Weeds - Simazine and Row Spacing ; Yields Of The Field Experiments 1961*, pp 137 - 139 - DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.23637/ERADOC-1-182>

61/Dh/1.1

LUCERNE

Control of weeds by simazine and row spacing - Woburn Mill Dam Close
1961.

*

Design: 2 replicates of 12 treatments arranged in one randomised block.

Area of each plot: 0.0082 acres. Area harvested: 0.0046 acres.

Treatments. All combinations of:

Row spacing: 7 inches; 14 inches.

Method of control: None (0); mechanically cultivated (M);

Simazine $1\frac{1}{2}$; 3 lb active ingredient per acre each applied either
in spring ($1\frac{1}{2}$ E; 3 E) or $\frac{3}{4}$ lb in spring ($\frac{3}{4}$ E).

Basal dressing: 4 cwt per acre compound fertiliser (14% P_2O_5 , 28% K_2O).

Cultivations, etc.: Harrowed: June 28 and July 20, 1960. Seed
drilled at 20 lb per acre: Aug 11. Sprayed with diquat at $2\frac{1}{2}$
pints in 80 gallons per acre: Dec 17. Basal fertiliser applied:
Mar 6, 1961. Simazine sprays applied: Mar 28 and Sept 19. Cut
three times: June 13, July 25, Sept 8. Variety: Du Puits.
Previous crop: Kale.

*Originally 2 randomised blocks of 20 plots each, but some plots were
abandoned owing to mole and bird damage.

Standard error per plot. Dry matter cwt per acre:

1st cut:	9.78 cwt per acre or 28.5% (14 d.f.)
2nd cut:	9.01 cwt per acre or 30.8% (14 d.f.)
3rd cut:	4.51 cwt per acre or 14.3% (14 d.f.)
Total of 3 cuts:	19.36 cwt per acre or 20.4% (14 d.f.)

61/Dh/1.2

Summary of ResultsDry matter: cwt per acre

Row spacing: inches	Method of control					Mean
	0	M	$1\frac{1}{2}E$	$3E$	$\frac{3}{4}E$	
<u>1st cut</u>						
	(± 6.91)		(± 4.89)	(± 6.91)		
7	46.9	38.3	33.4	22.9	46.6	36.9
14	35.3	43.5	26.4	26.0	32.4	31.7
Mean	41.1 (± 4.89)	40.9	29.9 (± 3.46)	24.5 (± 4.89)	39.5	34.3
Diff.	-11.6 (± 9.78)	+5.2	-7.0 (± 6.91)	+3.1 (± 9.78)	-14.2	-5.2 (± 3.99)
<u>2nd cut</u>						
	(± 6.37)		(± 4.51)	(± 6.37)		
7	33.1	22.8	31.4	21.3	39.1	29.9
14	28.7	33.7	27.4	26.5	28.7	28.7
Mean	30.9 (± 4.51)	28.3	29.4 (± 3.19)	23.9 (± 4.51)	33.9	29.3
Diff.	-4.4 (± 9.01)	+10.9	-4.0 (± 6.37)	+5.2 (± 9.01)	-10.4	-1.2 (± 3.68)

Mean dry matter % as harvested:

1st cut: 23.1

2nd cut: 18.8

Method of control

0 = None

M = Mechanically cultivated

 $1\frac{1}{2}E$; $\frac{3}{4}E$ = Simazine $1\frac{1}{2}$ lb; 3 lb active ingredient per acre applied $1\frac{3}{4}$ in spring $1\frac{1}{2}E$ = ~~Simazine $1\frac{1}{2}$ lb active ingredient per acre applied half in spring half in autumn 1961.~~

61/Dh/1.3

Dry matter: cwt per acre

Row spacing: inches	Method of control					Mean
	0	M	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ E	3E	$\frac{3}{4}$ E	
<u>3rd cut</u>						
	(± 3.19)		(± 2.26)	(± 3.19)		
7	31.3	28.4	31.9	28.6	38.0	31.7
14	29.4	35.4	31.3	28.4	31.5	31.2
Mean	30.4 (± 2.26)	31.9	31.6 (± 1.59)	28.5 (± 2.26)	34.8	31.5
Diff.	-1.9 (± 4.51)	+7.0	-0.6 (± 3.19)	-0.2 (± 4.51)	-6.5	-0.5 (± 1.84)

<u>Total of 3 cuts</u>						
	(± 13.69)		(± 9.68)		(± 13.69)	
7	111.4	89.6	96.8	72.9	123.8	98.5
14	93.4	112.6	85.1	81.0	92.7	91.6
Mean	102.4 (± 9.68)	101.1	90.9 (± 6.84)	76.9 (± 9.68)	108.2	95.1
Diff.	-18.0 (± 19.36)	+23.0	-11.7 (± 13.69)	+8.1 (± 19.36)	-31.1	-6.9 (± 7.90)

Mean dry matter % as harvested:

3rd cut: 19.6

Total of 3 cuts: 20.5

Method of control

0 = None

M = Mechanically cultivated

1 $\frac{1}{2}$ E; 3E = Simazine 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ lb; 3 lb active ingredient per acre applied $\frac{3}{4}$ in spring1 $\frac{1}{2}$ E = ~~Simazine 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ lb active ingredient per acre applied half in spring half in autumn 1961.~~